

# Evaluate the work. Not just the workstation.

A guide to defining a meaningful comparison for a project team.

## Choose a representative comparison

### 01 Define a local baseline

Record the current workstation, endpoint, application versions and storage path. Use a fair comparison, not an unrelated benchmark.

### 02 Select the actual tasks

Include opening, saving, navigating and coordinating an authorised representative model. Add a render or analysis task only when relevant.

### 03 Keep the conditions visible

Record the network path, working location, time of test, displays and concurrent users. Avoid comparing unlike conditions.

### 04 Agree acceptance before testing

State what the user needs to complete, which delays are unacceptable and who will decide whether the result is suitable.

### Project notes

---

---

# Review the complete experience

Keep the review specific to the accepted project scope.

## **05 Watch the workflow**

Note login, reconnect, model sync, plug-ins, peripherals and project-file access as well as computation time.

## **06 Separate resources and rights**

A hardware specification is not proof of application compatibility or virtual-use entitlement.

## **07 Include recovery and fallback**

Agree how work continues if a session, endpoint or provider becomes unavailable. Distinguish persistent storage from backup.

## **08 Write a bounded conclusion**

Record the tested configuration, observed results, limitations and unresolved questions. Do not generalise one test to every project.

### **A useful outcome**

The review should produce a clear accept, revise or defer decision for a defined workload—not an unsupported speed claim.

Discuss your project: [info@vivacore.ca](mailto:info@vivacore.ca)